



**HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
AGENDA**

City of Campbell, 70 North First Street, Campbell, California

Regular Meeting of the Historic Preservation Board

Wednesday, June 29, 2016, 4:00 p.m.
City Council Chambers, 70 N. First Street, Campbell

CALL TO ORDER

Chairperson Hernandez

ROLL CALL

MINUTES

1. Approval of Minutes for Meeting of May 25, 2016 (**Attached**)

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

This is the point on the agenda where members of the public may address the Board on items of concern to the Community that are not listed on the agenda.

NEW BUSINESS

1. 274 E. Campbell Ave: Informational only. The property owner for the Palazzi Salon (historically known as the Campbell Country Woman's Club Library) is proposing landscape improvements in front of the building consisting of replacing the existing lawn area with new colored concrete and brick paving, and installing 18-inch tall seat walls and a 3-foot tall planter wall to create a new plaza area.

Site Plan to be presented at meeting.

PUBLIC HEARING

1. None

OLD BUSINESS

1. Brochures: Board Members Moore and Walter will provide an update on the brochures.
2. Historic home tour/Mobile app: Continue discussion of planning a historic (virtual) home tour and mobile app. Get quotes, etc.
3. Historic Preservation Ordinance (Chapter 21.33): Continue discussion regarding update of the City's Historic Preservation Ordinance. *Pursuant to the CLG Agreement, OHP must have the opportunity to review and comment on ordinance changes prior to adoption. Changes that do not meet the CLG requirements could affect certification status.*
 - Review and finalize attached scope of work
 - Review attached Schedule

 - Schedule July Study Session.
 - o Discuss Tasks and Deadlines and potential assignments
 - o Finalize attached Schedule

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

1. Cambrian and Kennedy Tract area (July 27)

HPB MEMBER / STAFF ANNOUNCEMENTS / COMMUNICATIONS

1. Training
2. 209 Railway
3. General Plan Update
4. Requests for future agenda items (no discussion)

ADJOURNMENT

Adjourn to the next regular meeting (4th Wednesday) to be held on **July 27, 2015**, at 4:00 p.m., City Council Chambers, 70 North First Street, Campbell, California.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, listening assistance devices are available for meetings held in the Council Chambers. If you require accommodation to participate in the meeting, please contact Corinne Shin at the Community Development Department, at corinnes@cityofcampbell.com or (408) 866-2140.



HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD REGULAR MEETING

MINUTES

4:00 p.m. - Wednesday
City Council Chambers

May 25, 2016

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Hernandez called the Historic Preservation Board Regular Meeting of Wednesday, May 25, 2016, to order at 4:01 p.m. in the City Council Chambers, located at 70 North First Street, Campbell, California, and the following proceedings were had to wit.

ROLL CALL

Board Members Present:

JoElle Hernandez, Chair
Susan Blake, Vice Chair
Todd Walter
Laura Taylor Moore
Dawn Anderson (arrived 4:50pm)

Board Members Absent:

none

Staff Members Present:

Daniel Fama, Associate Planner
Stephen Rose, Associate Planner
Cindy McCormick, Senior Planner
Paul Kermoyan, Community Development Director

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. Board Member Walter made a motion to approve the Regular Meeting Minutes of April 27, 2016. Board Member Moore seconded. **Motion Passed 3-0-2** (Anderson absent, Hernandez abstained)

NON-AGENDIZED ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

None

NEW BUSINESS

1. 96 E. Rincon. Staff Planner Daniel Fama gave the report, summarizing the Historic Resource Evaluation that was completed by Garavaglia Architecture, Inc., determining that the structure located at 96 E. Rincon Avenue is ineligible for listing on the Campbell Historic Resource Inventory (HRI) and not a Historic Resource under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The consultant found that the property does not qualify for listing as a historic resource on the California Register of Historical Resources or on the National Register of Historic Places. The consultant also found that the home does not possess exceptional levels of historical and cultural history nor architectural, engineering, and historical significance in Campbell.

Board Member Moore disagrees with the Evaluation and stated that the City shouldn't reward property owners for neglecting their properties.

Vice Chair Blake was disappointed that the HPB's recommendation does not have the same weight as State / National standards. She asked that the homeowner replace the demolished structure with a home that is similar in style. Vice Chair Blake also stated that Rincon Avenue has the potential to be a historic district.

Mr. Fama stated that the consultant looked at every DPR survey in the City to determine what is considered historic in Campbell and found that other structures in the City are of a higher caliber. He also indicated that the HPB could designate a Historic Consultant to complete these types of reviews in the future. Mr. Fama indicated that the HPB could propose a new category for the Historic Preservation Ordinance for "Contributing structures". Mr. Fama also presented the elevations of the main dwelling and a second unit proposed for the site, but indicated that the design may change.

Chair Hernandez stated that it is a shame that the neighborhood is losing its historic character. Homeowners shouldn't be able to work around the Ordinance. She asked that the homeowner salvage some of the structure such as the doors, windows, fixtures, etc. Chair Hernandez also requested that the new home be of a similar style as the existing home in order to maintain the neighborhood character.

Board Member Walter stated that the City is losing this home due to the "opt-out" process, that the HPB has no control or recourse, and that owners can do whatever they want. He stated that the Evaluation is flawed because it depends on shining examples but Campbell only has a small percentage of historic homes compared to other cities. He also stated that this action sets precedence for other homeowners to demolish their historic homes and that this issue needs to be brought to the City

Council's attention.

Vice Chair Blake reiterated that only about 1% to 2% of the homes in Campbell are historic.

Chair Hernandez stated that if the City loses more historic homes, it will be harder to set up a historic district.

2. Cambrian and Kennedy Tract area. Vice Chair Blake indicated that there are two areas in the City with interesting architecture that have not been surveyed; the Cambrian annex area and the "4-C's" neighborhood (Cherry, California, El Caminito, Catalpa Lane). She proposed that each HPB member do a windshield survey and bring their findings back to the HPB. HPB members should also survey potential heritage trees in these neighborhoods. Board Member Walter suggested the HPB also consider other areas of the City that may not have been surveyed. The HPB will discuss the windshield survey plan again in July. Staff will send the HPB a copy of the annexation map for their review.
3. 207 E. Rincon. The homeowner presented the HPB with a brochure showing the historic color palate that he will be using to paint his HRI home. The Board members were receptive of the colors and thanked the homeowner for notifying the HPB.

PUBLIC HEARING

1. 300 & 307 Orchard City Drive (previously 93 S. Central Avenue): Staff Planner Stephen Rose gave the report, summarizing the plans to renovate the exterior of the *George Hyde Co. Sunsweet Growers* building, remove non-historic features, and improve accessibility. The applicant indicated that they intend to keep the historic features of the building but modernize it with new features that complement the historic building but don't attempt to replicate it. They were grateful to the Historic Museum for helping them determine what is and is not historic, so that they can remove the non-historic elements.

Vice Chair Blake indicated that the plan was well thought out and she was very pleased with the design which is consistent with the Secretary's Standards. She asked the applicant to distinguish the new brick from the historic brick and asked about the new and old signage.

The applicant responded that they would introduce a reveal to differentiate the new and old brick. With regard to the signage, the applicant stated that they would preserve the informational plaque by moving it to either the inside or outside of the building. "The Cannery" would be stenciled to the exterior of the building. The applicant will also check with the Museum on historic Cannery signage.

Board Member Moore asked the applicant to preserve any other artifacts they find.

Board Member Walter applauded the applicant for its clean, simple, thoughtful design, stating that it would be a nice addition to the area. He asked if the brick will be cleaned or left to patina. He also asked that the new brick be differentiated from the old brick.

The applicant responded that repairs will be meticulous and least intrusive as possible. The applicant reiterated that the reveal should differentiate the two.

Vice Chair Blake indicated that the Olive tree is dying, is therefore not protected, and OK to remove. She likes the clerestory windows and stated that the clean design will be attractive next to the light rail station. Vice Chair Blake indicated that when the roof was recently repaired, the downspouts were made too short and have disconnected causing water damage to the building.

The applicant indicated that they would repair the downspouts.

Chair Hernandez stated that she loves the clean design and is happy that the applicant is keeping the brick façade and opening up the historic clerestory windows. She asked about the passageway and lighting between the garage and the property.

The applicant stated that the gate is not locked allowing passage from and to the garage. The applicant also stated that there is new LED lighting in the garage and limited lighting in the residential area.

Board Member Anderson asked about accessibility and stated that a lift is not allowed for egress.

The applicant indicated that two ADA stalls and two restrooms would be updated to meet accessibility standards. The applicant also indicated that they would re-slope the walkways, eliminate the ramp, and install the lift.

Chair Hernandez stated that the Building Department will review the plans for compliance with accessibility standards and that the purview of the HPB is limited to historic preservation.

Board Member Walter made a motion to accept the application with the modification to accentuate the reveal around the brick façade entry to differentiate the new brick from the old. Vice Chair Blake seconded. **Motion Passed 4-0-1** (Anderson abstained)

OLD BUSINESS

1. **Brochures**: Chair Hernandez stated that the proposed text for the brochure overlaps with information that is already contained in other Historic Preservation brochures such as "Resources for Property Owners". Chair Hernandez stated that the information should be different for each audience and should include larger font and

larger graphics and possibly a different layout. Board Member Walter stated that it could be 8 ½ x 11 instead of a trifold. Vice Chair Blake stated that the target audience is realtors and reiterated that there should be more pictures and less text. Vice Chair Blake stated that they had previously discussed that the brochure would contain seven (7) questions with quick answers and would also contain examples of real estate values.

2. Historic Home Tour / Mobile app: Chair Hernandez stated that the Museum Board will be discussing this at their next meeting. Board Member Moore indicated that the members of the Museum Board are changing and they may be waiting to discuss the app until after the new members are elected.
3. Historic Preservation Ordinance (Chapter 21.33): The HPB would like to schedule a study session in July to begin discussions and assign workplan tasks.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

None

HPB MEMBER / STAFF ANNOUNCEMENTS / COMMUNICATIONS

1. 209 Railway: Vice Chair Blake stated that she has spoken with Council Member Kotowski who will speak with the City Manager about purchasing this property as park land and preserving the building. Staff will bring this back to the HPB when staff has more information.
2. Farmer's Market: The HPB will have a table and hand out brochures at the May 29th Farmers Market.

ADJOURNMENT

Adjourned at 5:50 p.m. to a regular meeting to be held on June 22 2016, at 4:00 p.m., City Council Chambers, 70 North First Street, Campbell, California.

PREPARED BY: _____

Cindy McCormick, Senior Planner

APPROVED BY: _____

JoElle Hernandez, Chair

DRAFT SCOPE OF WORK
HISTORIC PRESERVATION ORDINANCE

- 1) Definitions (Demo by Neglect, Context Statement, Cons. District, Potential List, etc.)
- 2) Incentives
- 3) Process for neglected properties
- 4) Process for Mills Act (monitoring, etc.)
- 5) Process for Appeals
- 6) Process for “Potential List” (add to Potential List) (remove from Potential List)
- 7) Process for “Designated HRI” (move from potential to HRI) (remove from HRI)
- 8) Conservation District
- 9) Context Statement as reference

HPB Ordinance update schedule

Jun 28: **Review scope of work (finalize what will be updated, what won't be updated)**
1) Definitions (Demo by Neglect, Context Statement, Cons. District, Potential List, etc.)
2) Incentives
3) Process for neglected properties
4) Process for Mills Act (monitoring, etc.)
5) Process for Appeals
6) Process for "Potential List" (add to Potential List) (remove from Potential List)
7) Process for "Designated HRI" (move from potential to HRI) (remove from HRI)
8) Conservation District
9) Context Statement as reference

July Study Session ?

Jul 27: **Assign individual HPB members workplan tasks for homework** (categories above)

Aug 24: **HPB members to discuss progress on workplan tasks, request feedback as needed**

Sept 28: **Each HPB member to present their individual progress on workplan task**

Oct 26: **HPB to review individual sections provided to staff/Board at September meeting**
(offline: Staff to draft Ordinance based on information from each HPB member).

Nov 15: **HPB to review 1st draft Ordinance and provide feedback to staff**

Moved (offline: staff to revise Ordinance)

4 Holiday (offline: City Attorney will also review)

Dec 21: **HPB to review 2nd draft Ordinance and provide final feedback to staff**

Moved (offline: staff to revise Ordinance)

4 Holiday

Jan 25: **HPB to VOTE on draft Ordinance** (goes to Planning Commission)

(offline: Staff to prepare final draft for Planning Commission)

**Noticing due to newspaper week of February 20th

Feb 22: No Ordinance related tasks unless needed

March 14: **PC to review Draft and make recommendation to City Council**

April 18: **City Council to review final Draft**

April 25: **City Council second reading of final Draft**

End of May: Ordinance to take effect